

14 July 2025 EMA/226445/2025 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

## Eltrombopag film-coated tablets 12.5 mg, 25 mg, 50 mg, 75 mg and powder for oral suspension 25 mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance

Draft Agreed by Methodology Working Party (MWP)	10 June 2025
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	14 July 2025
Start of public consultation	25 September 2025
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 December 2025

Comments should be provided using this EUSurvey  $\underline{\text{form}}$ . For any technical issues, please contact the  $\underline{\text{EUSurvey Support}}$ 

|--|



## Eltrombopag film-coated tablets 12.5 mg, 25 mg, 50 mg, 75 mg and powder for oral suspension 25 mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance

## **Disclaimer:**

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

Requirements for bioequivalence demonstration (MWP)\*

BCS Classification**	BCS Class:   I III   Neither of the two
	<b>Background:</b> Eltrombopag olamine is considered a low solubility compound with limited absorption.
Bioequivalence study design	single dose
in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or	cross-over
applied	healthy volunteers
	<b>Strength:</b> 75 mg for film-coated tablets, 25 mg for powder for oral suspension.
	Background: Highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics.

	Number of studies: One single dose study for each dosage form.  Background: Film-coated tablets and powder for oral suspension are not bioequivalent.	
	Other design aspects: None	
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both	
	□ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine	
	Enantioselective analytical method: $\square$ yes $\boxtimes$ no	
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC <sub>0-t</sub> and C <sub>max</sub>	
	<b>90% confidence interval:</b> 80.00- 125.00%	

<sup>\*</sup> As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of  $C_{max}$ . If high intra-individual variability ( $CV_{intra} > 30$  %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary (BCS Class I and III), the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. *in vitro* dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).