



1 16 March 2026
2 EMA/61447/2026
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)
4

5 **Concept paper on the need for revision of the Guideline**
6 **on clinical investigation of medicinal products for the**
7 **treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis**
8

9

Agreed by CNS Working Party	18 December 2025
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	16 March 2026
Start of public consultation	31 March 2026
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	30 September 2026

10
11 The proposed guideline will replace the Guideline on clinical investigation of medicinal products for the
12 treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (EMA/531686/2015, Corr.1, 19 November 2015).
13

14 Comments should be provided using this EUsurvey [form](#). For any technical issues, please contact the [EUsurvey Support](#).

Keywords	Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Motor neuron disease, Confirmatory trials
----------	--

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22



23 **1. Introduction**

24 Motor neuron disease (MND) covers a heterogeneous group of neurodegenerative disorders
25 characterized by the loss of upper and/or lower motor neurons.

26 The most common disease is amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Typical symptoms are proceeding
27 and spreading of flaccid and/or spastic paresis in skeletal muscles in the extremities, trunk, tongue and
28 throat leading to escalating difficulties in walking, arm/hand utility, breathing and swallowing.
29 Reduction of cognitive functions can be found in around 30-50% of the patients. Some patients
30 develop a frontotemporal dementia. In the pan-European, population-based PRECISION-ALS cohort,
31 the observed median time from onset to death was 2.69 years (interquartile range 1.74-4.34)¹. Death
32 is typically caused by respiratory insufficiency.

33 The reported incidence of ALS varies from 0.3-2.5 per 100 000 persons per year. ALS typically affects
34 adults. Juvenile ALS – with onset below 25 years – is extremely rare with a prevalence lower than 1
35 per million (Orphanet)². The exact aetiology of ALS is still uncertain. Pathophysiologically, ALS is the
36 result of the interplay of a complex interaction between genetic and molecular pathways³⁻⁵. Progressive
37 upper and lower motor neuron damage and death have been attributed to oxidative damage,
38 mitochondrial dysfunction, changes in intracellular calcium levels, glutamate excitotoxicity protein
39 misfolding and aggregation⁶⁻⁸. ALS is considered a proteinopathy and in the vast majority of the
40 patients, a TAR DNA-binding protein 43 (TDP-43) proteinopathy is identified⁹. However, aggregates of
41 other proteins have also been identified in other patients. Indeed, knowledge on the genetics of ALS is
42 rapidly expanding leading to further understanding on the role of certain genetic mutations in shaping
43 pathophysiology and disease heterogeneity of both familial ALS (fALS) and sporadic ALS (sALS). To
44 date at least 40 genes have been identified to have a role in ALS including mutations in *C9orf72*,
45 superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1), TDP-43, and fused in sarcoma (FUS). Importantly, the implications of
46 genetics in ALS goes beyond pathogenesis and diagnosis/stratification as these mutations may serve
47 as targets for the development of new gene-specific therapies¹⁰.

48 Diagnostic criteria have evolved since 1994 when the El Escorial criteria (EEC) were agreed¹¹. Updates
49 (EEC revised¹² and Awaji criteria¹³) have been developed to increase sensitivity. The most recent
50 update in this serial development of diagnostic criteria is the Gold Coast criteria (GCC)¹⁴. The GCC has
51 simplified how the diagnosis can be established, describing a single clinical diagnostic entity rather
52 than different disease categories. This may be of value in clinical trials as the utilisation of the so called
53 definite, or definite and probable ALS categories have reduced inclusion rates leading to impaired
54 external validity of clinical trials¹⁵. Biomarkers have received more attention lately but validation of
55 these is lagging.

56 Progression of muscular weakness is seen in all ALS-patients but the course varies widely and depends
57 on several factors including site of onset and on the succeeding progression rate. The most widely used
58 functional rating scale is ALS functional rating scale (revised)(ALSF_{RS}-R) but it has some limitations. In
59 the recent years, there have been efforts to overcome some of these limitations¹⁶ and to develop new
60 scales¹⁷.

61 At present, only two medicinal products have been authorised in the European Union for the treatment
62 of ALS in adults. One of them is authorised in the small subset of patients with a mutation in the SOD1
63 gene. Thus, there remains an unmet medical need for efficacious and safe treatments for ALS.

64 Guidance on the clinical investigation of medicinal products in the treatment of other MND are not in
65 the scope of this guidance.

66 The current ALS guideline (EMA/531686/2015, Corr.1) came into effect in June 2016¹⁸.

67 **2. Problem statement**

68 The current guideline predates some recent developments in the field. Since the first version, new
69 diagnostic criteria and tools for patient phenotyping have been developed. Additionally, the standard of
70 care has evolved with differences in the authorisation of certain medicinal products in the EU and
71 outside the EU, aspects that could impact the design of studies. Further, based on recent regulatory
72 experience, the guidance should be updated on several methodological aspects on the design of
73 efficacy studies in ALS (see below). Finally, the current guideline does not discuss the potential role of
74 biomarkers in the drug development in ALS.

75 **3. Discussion (on the problem statement)**

76 The following critical aspects should be considered to be addressed in the update of the guidance
77 document:

- 78 • The new GCC criteria¹⁴, since they have potential implications on both the definition of the
79 target population and on the eligibility criteria for clinical trials.
- 80 • Recommendations on eligibility criteria and stratification factors for study populations to reduce
81 exclusion rates, increase external validity, and facilitate homogeneity in trial endpoints.
- 82 • The evolution in the standard of care.
- 83 • Update recommendations on therapeutic efficacy measures including definitions of death
84 equivalents, global, respiratory and cognitive function measurements.
- 85 • The use of biomarkers in ALS with particular emphasis on the use of plasma and cerebrospinal
86 fluid neurofilament protein levels in trials as enrichment marker, stratification tool, efficacy
87 outcome measure.
- 88 • Clinical efficacy studies
 - 89 ○ Exploratory studies: update on design aspects including study population, acceptable
90 duration of the trial, acceptable endpoints.
 - 91 ○ Confirmatory studies for medicinal products targeting the underlying disease
92 pathophysiological mechanism(s).
 - 93 • Trial design considerations in the context of global variability in the
94 standard of care and an evolving therapeutic landscape (e.g. selection
95 of control, stratification, considerations for combined treatments).
 - 96 • Duration of a trial to allow capturing clinically relevant effects in
97 function and survival.
 - 98 • Considerations on endpoints using ALSFRS-R tool (e.g. ALSFRS-R
99 adjusted for mortality using the joint modelling approach, Combined
100 Assessment of Function and Survival (CAFS), ALSFRS-R slope
101 analysis).
 - 102 • Considerations on definition of estimands, missingness patterns and
103 imputation methods.
 - 104 • Considerations on the use of adaptive designs and platforms trials.

105 ▪ Trial design considerations for Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis - frontotemporal
106 spectrum disorder ¹⁹.

107 The focus on the above aspects is expected to have an influence on the structure and the content of
108 other sections in the guideline.

109 **4. Recommendation**

110 The Central Nervous System Working Party (CNSWP) recommends drafting a revision of the guideline
111 on Guideline on clinical investigation of medicinal products for the treatment of amyotrophic lateral
112 sclerosis taking into account the issues identified above.

113 **5. Proposed timetable**

114 It is planned to release for consultation a draft CHMP guidance document not later than Q1 2027.

115 **6. Resource requirements for preparation**

116 The preparation of this guideline will involve the CNSWP. Drafts of the document will be discussed as
117 needed with the CHMP, SAWP, the MWP, and other relevant WPs and committees.

118 **7. Impact assessment (anticipated)**

119 It is aimed that this guideline will be helpful to achieve a high-level consensus in the evaluation and
120 standardisation of the clinical development plan for medicinal products for the treatment of ALS,
121 enhancing drug development in this condition with large unmet medical need.

122 **8. Interested parties**

123 The interested parties in the guidance document include:

- 124 • Learned societies and academia including European Academy of Neurology (EAN), European
125 Network to cure ALS (ENCALS), European Reference Network for Rare Neuromuscular Diseases
126 (ERN-NMD), Treatment Research Initiative to cure ALS (TRICALS), European Pooled ALS data
127 (EUpALS), European College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ECNP)
- 128 • Patient's organisations including European ALS Alliance (EALSA), EURORDIS-Rare diseases
129 Europe, European Federation of Neurological Associations (EFNA).
- 130 • Other regulatory bodies.

131 **9. References to literature, guidelines, etc.**

- 132 1. Caravaca Puchades A, McDonough HE, Al-Chalabi A, Chiò A, Corcia P, Galvin M, Hardiman O,
133 Heverin M, Hobin F, Holmdahl O, Ingre C, Lamaire N, Mac Domhnaill É, Manera U, McFarlane R,
134 Mouzouri M, Ombelet F, Opie-Martin S, Sennfält S, Terrafeta Pastor C, Veldink JH, Van Damme P,
135 van den Berg L, van Eijk RPA, Vasta R, Weemering DN, Shaw P, McDermott CJ, Povedano Panadés
136 M. Mapping the natural history of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: time-to-event analysis of clinical
137 milestones in the pan-European, population-based PRECISION-ALS cohort. *Amyotroph Lateral*
138 *Scler Frontotemporal Degener.* 2025;26(sup1):8-19.

- 139 2. Orphanet: Juvenile amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. <https://www.orpha.net/en/disease/detail/300605>
140 (accessed Feb 16, 2026).
- 141 3. Kiernan MC, Vucic S, Cheah BC, Turner MR, Eisen A, Hardiman O, Burrell JR, Zoing MC.
142 Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Lancet*. 2011 Mar 12;377(9769):942-55. doi: 10.1016/S0140-
143 6736(10)61156-7.
- 144 4. Pratt AJ, Getzoff ED, Perry JJ. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: update and new developments.
145 *Degener Neurol Neuromuscul Dis*. 2012 Feb;2012(2):1-14. doi: 10.2147/DNND.S19803.
- 146 5. Rizea RE, Corlatescu AD, Costin HP, Dumitru A, Ciurea AV. Understanding Amyotrophic Lateral
147 Sclerosis: Pathophysiology, Diagnosis, and Therapeutic Advances. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2024 Sep
148 15;25(18):9966. doi: 10.3390/ijms25189966
- 149 6. Gurney ME, Pu H, Chiu AY, Dal Canto MC, Polchow CY, Alexander DD, Caliendo J, Hentati A, Kwon
150 YW, Deng HX, et al. Motor neuron degeneration in mice that express a human Cu,Zn superoxide
151 dismutase mutation. *Science*. 1994 Jun 17;264(5166):1772-5. doi: 10.1126/science.8209258.
- 152 7. Leigh PN, Swash M, Iwasaki Y, Ludolph A, Meininger V, Miller RG, Mitsumoto H, Shaw P, Tashiro K,
153 Van Den Berg L. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a consensus viewpoint on designing and
154 implementing a clinical trial. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Other Motor Neuron Disord*. 2004
155 Jun;5(2):84-98. doi: 10.1080/14660820410020187.
- 156 8. Mead RJ, Shan N, Reiser HJ, Marshall F, Shaw PJ. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a
157 neurodegenerative disorder poised for successful therapeutic translation. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*.
158 2023 Mar;22(3):185-212. doi: 10.1038/s41573-022-00612-2
- 159 9. Arai T, Hasegawa M, Akiyama H, Ikeda K, Nonaka T, Mori H, Mann D, Tsuchiya K, Yoshida M,
160 Hashizume Y, Oda T. TDP-43 is a component of ubiquitin-positive tau-negative inclusions in
161 frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Biochem Biophys Res*
162 *Commun*. 2006 Dec 22;351(3):602-11. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.10.093.
- 163 10. Nijs M, Van Damme P. The genetics of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Curr Opin Neurol*. 2024 Oct
164 1;37(5):560-569. doi: 10.1097/WCO.0000000000001294.
- 165 11. Brooks BR. El Escorial World Federation of Neurology criteria for the diagnosis of amyotrophic
166 lateral sclerosis. Subcommittee on Motor Neuron Diseases/Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis of the
167 World Federation of Neurology Research Group on Neuromuscular Diseases and the El Escorial
168 "Clinical limits of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis" workshop contributors. *J Neurol Sci*. 1994 Jul;124
169 Suppl:96-107. doi: 10.1016/0022-510x(94)90191-0.
- 170 12. Brooks BR, Miller RG, Swash M, Munsat TL; World Federation of Neurology Research Group on
171 Motor Neuron Diseases. El Escorial revisited: revised criteria for the diagnosis of amyotrophic
172 lateral sclerosis. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Other Motor Neuron Disord*. 2000 Dec;1(5):293-9. doi:
173 10.1080/146608200300079536.
- 174 13. de Carvalho M, Dengler R, Eisen A, England JD, Kaji R, Kimura J, Mills K, Mitsumoto H, Nodera H,
175 Shefner J, Swash M. Electrodiagnostic criteria for diagnosis of ALS. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2008
176 Mar;119(3):497-503. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2007.09.143.
- 177 14. Shefner JM, Al-Chalabi A, Baker MR, Cui LY, de Carvalho M, Eisen A, Grosskreutz J, Hardiman O,
178 Henderson R, Matamala JM, Mitsumoto H, Paulus W, Simon N, Swash M, Talbot K, Turner MR,
179 Ugawa Y, van den Berg LH, Verdugo R, Vucic S, Kaji R, Burke D, Kiernan MC. A proposal for new
180 diagnostic criteria for ALS. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2020 Aug;131(8):1975-1978. doi:
181 10.1016/j.clinph.2020.04.005

- 182 15. van Eijk RPA, Westeneng HJ, Nikolakopoulos S, Verhagen IE, van Es MA, Eijkemans MJC, van den
183 Berg LH. Refining eligibility criteria for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis clinical trials. *Neurology*. 2019
184 Jan 28;92(5):e451-e460. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000006855.
- 185 16. Young CA, Chaouch A, Mcdermott CJ, Al-Chalabi A, Chhetri SK, Talbot K, Malaspina A, Mills R,
186 Tennant A. Improving the measurement properties of the Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional
187 Rating Scale-Revised (ALSFERS-R): deriving a valid measurement total for the calculation of
188 change. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener*. 2024 May;25(3-4):400-409. doi:
189 10.1080/21678421.2024.2322539.
- 190 17. Fournier CN, Bedlack R, Quinn C, Russell J, Beckwith D, Kaminski KH, Tyor W, Hertzberg V, James
191 V, Polak M, Glass JD. Development and Validation of the Rasch-Built Overall Amyotrophic Lateral
192 Sclerosis Disability Scale (ROADS). *JAMA Neurol*. 2020 Apr 1;77(4):480-488. doi:
193 10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.4490.
- 194 18. Guideline on clinical investigation of medicinal products for the treatment of amyotrophic lateral
195 sclerosis (ALS): [Clinical investigation of medicinal products for the treatment of amyotrophic lateral
196 sclerosis - Scientific guideline | European Medicines Agency \(EMA\)](#)
- 197 19. Strong MJ, Abrahams S, Goldstein LH, Woolley S, Mclaughlin P, Snowden J, Mioshi E, Roberts-
198 South A, Benatar M, HortobáGyi T, Rosenfeld J, Silani V, Ince PG, Turner MR. Amyotrophic lateral
199 sclerosis - frontotemporal spectrum disorder (ALS-FTSD): Revised diagnostic criteria. *Amyotroph
200 Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener*. 2017 May;18(3-4):153-174. doi:
201 10.1080/21678421.2016.1267768. Epub 2017 Jan 5. PMID: 28054827; PMCID: PMC7409990.