

<Date>

Request for advice on the orphan device status pursuant to Article 61(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/745 and MDCG 2024-10 on <device name> from the Expert Panels



Table of Contents

I – General Information	3
1. Administrative Information	3
1.1. Information on the requester	3
1.2. Information on the manufacturer	3
1.3. Information on the authorised representative	3
1.4. Information on the notified body	3
2. Information on the device	4
2.1. Device name	4
2.2. Risk class	4
2.3. Risk class justification	4
2.4. Type (European Medical Device Nomenclature -EMDN* level 3)	4
2.5. Clinical area	4
2.6. Development history and regulatory status	5
3. Prioritisation criteria	5
3.1. Device for treating medical conditions that are life threatening or cause permanent impairment of a body function	
3.2. Device intended for children	5
3.3. Novel device with a possible major clinical benefit	5
II – Application – Orphan device status criteria	6
A. Description of the proposed orphan condition/ subpopulation	6
A1. Details of the proposed orphan condition (disease)	6
A2. Details of the orphan subpopulation of a non-orphan condition	7
B. Epidemiology of the condition	8
B1. Incidence of the orphan condition/ subpopulation in the European Union	8
C. Description of the device	9
C1. Description of the device, principles of operation, mode of action	9
C2. Proposed indication/ intended purpose	9
C3. Justification of the use of the device in the proposed orphan condition/ subpopulation	9
C4. In cases where the device also has another intended purpose/indication in larger patient populations, justification that the intended purpose in the orphan population/ subpopulation is sufficiently different to the other intended purpose/indications	
D. Alternative options for diagnosis, prevention or treatment of the condition/ subpopulation	10
D1. Details of the current state of the art and any existing alternative diagnosis, prevention or treatment methods	10
D2. Justification as to why the existing methods are insufficient	10
D3. Justification of expected clinical benefit compared to alternative existing methods or state of the art	
E. References	11
III - List of Abbreviations	12
Guidance text	

Guidance text is in green italics. You may print a copy of this template with the drafting note, then delete them all in one go:

Click on Ctrl-Alt-Shift-S to view the "styles" window. Select "Drafting notes (Agency)" and click on the icon on the right, chose "Select all XXX instances", press the "Delete" key on the keyboard. Do not change or delete the titles and the numbering style. (Add "Not applicable" if necessary) Suggested font: Verdana 9. Paragraph tab: alignment: left, outline level: body text, indentation: 0, spacing before: Opt and after: 7pt; line spacing: at least, at: 14pt.

I - General Information
1. Administrative Information
1.1. Information on the requester
Name:
Position:
Email:
Phone:
1.2. Information on the manufacturer
Name of the company:
Address:
Country:
[For EU/EEA manufacturers]
SME status*: Yes \square /No \square
*https://single-market-economy.ec.europa.eu/smes/sme-definition_en
1.3. Information on the authorised representative
[Where applicable for manufacturers outside of the EU/EEA and Turkey]
Name of the company:
Address:
Country:
1.4. Information on the notified body
[Only for late stage advice]
Name of the notified body:
Address

Address:

Notified bo	ody number:	
	,	
2. Inf	ormation on the device	
2.1. Devi	ice name	
Name: 2.2. Risk class Class III		
2.2. Risk	class	
Class III		
	active device intended to administer and/or remove a medicinal product (Section nex VIII - Rule 12)	
2.3. Risk	class justification	
	provide a justification for the risk class chosen, including the application rule.]	cable
2. <i>4. Typ</i> e	e (European Medical Device Nomenclature -EMDN* level 3)	
[Please i possible)	indicate the EMDN type that applies to the device (multiple choices are	9
	']	
[* <u>https://</u> v	webgate.ec.europa.eu/dyna2/emdn/]	
	webgate.ec.europa.eu/dyna2/emdn/]	
2.5. Clini	webgate.ec.europa.eu/dyna2/emdn/]	
2.5. Clini	webgate.ec.europa.eu/dyna2/emdn/] ical area	
2.5. Clini [Please i	<pre>webgate.ec.europa.eu/dyna2/emdn/] ical area indicate the relevant clinical area (multiple choices are possible)]</pre>	
2.5. Clini [Please i	webgate.ec.europa.eu/dyna2/emdn/] ical area indicate the relevant clinical area (multiple choices are possible)] Orthopaedics, traumatology, rehabilitation, rheumatology	
2.5. Clini	webgate.ec.europa.eu/dyna2/emdn/] ical area indicate the relevant clinical area (multiple choices are possible)] Orthopaedics, traumatology, rehabilitation, rheumatology Circulatory system	
2.5. Clini	webgate.ec.europa.eu/dyna2/emdn/] ical area indicate the relevant clinical area (multiple choices are possible)] Orthopaedics, traumatology, rehabilitation, rheumatology Circulatory system Neurology	
2.5. Clini	webgate.ec.europa.eu/dyna2/emdn/] ical area indicate the relevant clinical area (multiple choices are possible)] Orthopaedics, traumatology, rehabilitation, rheumatology Circulatory system Neurology Respiratory system, anaesthesiology, intensive care	
2.5. Clini [Please i	webgate.ec.europa.eu/dyna2/emdn/] ical area indicate the relevant clinical area (multiple choices are possible)] Orthopaedics, traumatology, rehabilitation, rheumatology Circulatory system Neurology Respiratory system, anaesthesiology, intensive care Endocrinology and diabetes	

Nephrology and urology

	Ophthalmology
	Other: []
2.6. Deve	elopment history and regulatory status
worldwide	provide an updated short overview of the device development. Describe the regulatory status of the device. Indicate if scientific advice has been y requested from other regulatory authorities.]
3. Prid	oritisation criteria
[Please p	provide information on the criteria that apply to the device (multiple choices ble).]
	ce for treating medical conditions that are life threatening or cause permanent nt of a body function
Yes 🗆 / I	No □
If Yes, plea	ase provide a justification:
-	the main features of the disease(s)/condition(s) and the current standard reatments or diagnosis, referring to relevant publications or other sources.]
3.2. Devi	ce intended for children
Yes □ / N	<i>lo</i> 🗆
If Yes, plea	ase provide a justification:
[Describe	e the target population of patients.]
3.3. Nove	el device with a possible major clinical benefit
Yes □ / N	<i>lo</i> 🗆
If Yes, plea	ase provide a justification:
	an assessment of the novelty of the device and the expected clinical impact from that novelty.]

II - Application - Orphan device status criteria

A. Description of the proposed orphan condition/ subpopulation

A1. Details of the proposed orphan condition (disease)

- Name of condition/disease
 - <Text>
- Aetiology
 - <Text>
- Specific pathophysiological, histopathological, clinical characteristics
 - <Text>
- Diagnosis and symptoms
 - <Text>

This section should be filled in cases in which the applicant claims that the device is specifically intended to benefit patients in the treatment, diagnosis, or prevention of a disease or condition that presents in not more than 12,000 individuals in the European Union (EU) per year. The applicant should provide a clear description of the specific disease/condition that presents in not more than 12,000 individuals in the EU per year for which the respective orphan device is intended to be used. The specific clinical and other characteristics of the condition should be detailed in this section. This description should be based on published references.

A2. Details of the orphan subpopulation of a non-orphan condition

- Description of the non-orphan condition <Text>
- Description of the orphan subpopulation of the non-orphan condition <Text>
- Justification on why the device is only intended for use within the subpopulation and not in the wider non-orphan condition including device and patient specific factors <Text>

This section should only be filled in cases in which the applicant claims that the device is intended to be used to benefit patients in the treatment, diagnosis, or prevention of a disease or condition that presents in a clinically valid patient subpopulation (of not more than 12,000 individuals in the EU per year — orphan subpopulation) within a disease or condition with an annual incidence of more than 12,000 in the EU.

The applicant should provide information to justify the existence of a valid orphan subpopulation for the purpose of justifying the orphan device status for a device used in a disease/condition that presents in more than 12,000 individuals per year. This can include providing a scientific rationale for why the device is only intended for use within that subpopulation and the intended use would not be appropriate for the wider population with a non-rare disease/condition.

Arbitrary limitations of use to only a subpopulation of patients to meet the incidence criteria will not be considered sufficient as it could be clinically appropriate to use the same device and therefore generate more clinical data in the remaining larger population with the non-rare disease or condition. Factors to consider when determining if a valid and medically plausible orphan subpopulation exists include device-specific factors such as mechanism of action, and patient-specific factors that make it medically plausible that the device is for use only for that specific subpopulation of patients. For example, a device may be intended for use in a paediatric subpopulation or in a subpopulation within a disease/condition based on device and patient factors that make it appropriate for use only in that valid subpopulation, for example based on diversity of anatomy. Other patient factors may demonstrate a valid orphan subpopulation, for example, the benefit/risk of using the device may only be positive in a subpopulation of patients who are refractory to, or not medically suitable for, alternative treatments. Literature references and clinical expert statements should be provided, where available, to substantiate the justification.

B. Epidemiology of the condition

B1. Incidence of the orphan condition/ subpopulation in the European Union

<Text>

The applicant should provide comprehensive justification that the device is specifically intended to benefit patients in the treatment, diagnosis, or prevention of a disease or condition that presents in not more than 12,000 individuals in the EU per year. This can be either a claimed orphan condition (if section A1 has been completed) or a claimed orphan subpopulation (if section A2 has been completed).

Documentation in support of the epidemiological based criterion by way of population incidence estimates should be provided. It is acknowledged that documentation to support the incidence criteria will be limited in some cases. Authoritative references (e.g., from peer-reviewed medical literature and/or public health statistics) relevant to the EU population should be provided where available. The manufacturer may consider including additional supporting data for incidence estimates, for example from national level data, health service level data or from independent clinical experts or medical society consensus statements. Where data available to the manufacturer are limited, for example to national or regional level only, the orphan device status may be justified by providing an EU population incidence based on extrapolation and considering relevant factors including heterogeneity of the incidence across the EU. A clear description of the assumptions and limitations of the extrapolation will need to be provided.

In cases where the device is intended to treat, diagnose, or prevent a rare disease as defined in the EU and affecting no more than 5 in 10,000 persons in the EU, and where the device is expected to be used in not more than 12,000 such individuals per year (to be demonstrated), this can be accepted as sufficient justification of the epidemiological part of the criteria.

C. Description of the device

C1. Description of the device, principles of operation, mode of action

<Text>

C2. Proposed indication/ intended purpose

<Text>

C3. Justification of the use of the device in the proposed orphan condition/ subpopulation

<Text>

The applicant should provide a description of the device (C1), its intended purpose (C2), and a scientific rationale for why the proposed intended use is considered necessary or important in the context of the management of the orphan population (or orphan subpopulation) in question, with reference to device-specific factors (C3).

C4. In cases where the device also has another intended purpose/indication in larger patient populations, justification that the intended purpose in the orphan population/subpopulation is sufficiently different to the other intended purpose/indications

<Text>

It is noted that a device may have a specific intended purpose/indication for an orphan population, or orphan subpopulation, where the device also has another intended purpose/indication in larger non-orphan patient populations. In such cases, where duly justified, the principles outlined in the MDCG 2024-10 guidance (https://health.ec.europa.eu/document/download/daa1fc59-9d2c-4e82-878e-d6fdf12ecd1a en?filename=mdcg 2024-10 en.pdf) for demonstrating sufficient clinical evidence may be applicable to these devices for the purposes of supporting their orphan indication only and without prejudice to the clinical evaluation requirements for other intended purpose/indications in view of their certification in accordance with the MDR. In such cases the manufacturer should justify that the intended purpose in the orphan population, or orphan subpopulation, is sufficiently different to the other intended larger non-orphan population purpose/indications, such that the clinical evidence for the non-orphan intended purpose/indication(s) is not applicable and there is an authentic challenge to generating clinical data for the orphan indication. In these cases, the relevant information should be added in section C4.

D. Alternative options for diagnosis, prevention or treatment of the condition/ subpopulation

D1. Details of the current state of the art and any existing alternative diagnosis, prevention or treatment methods

<Text>

D2. Justification as to why the existing methods are insufficient

<Text>

D3. Justification of expected clinical benefit compared to alternative existing methods or state of the art

<Text>

A comprehensive description of the current state of the art and alternative therapies (if any, including the relative availability of alternatives) should be provided in this section (D1).

The applicant should justify why the existing methods are insufficient (D2) and/or why the device would provide an expected clinical benefit compared to available alternatives or state of the art for the treatment, diagnosis or prevention of the disease/condition (D3).

Information from medical literature (for example clinical treatment guidelines) or consensus statements from clinical experts or medical societies, which may include patient representative groups, may be used to support the justification of the expected clinical benefit, for example, where they detail relevant gaps in clinical management of the disease in the existing state of the art and why the therapeutic option to be provided by the proposed orphan device is needed. Relevant non-clinical and preliminary clinical data on the device, and/or data on similar devices, may be used in support of a statement that the device will provide an expected clinical benefit.

E. References

For all sections of this document, the manufacturer should provide references to support the claims on the orphan status of the device. Published authoritative references (for example, from peer-reviewed medical literature) are preferred where available.

	Abbrevia						
lease provide a	list of abb	oreviations	used in	this doc	cument, i	f applica	ble]