



1 25 November 2010
2 EMA/HMPC/833398/2009
3 Patient Health Protection
4 Committee on Herbal Medicinal Products (HMPC)

5 **Reflection paper on the necessity of initiatives to**
6 **stimulate the conduct of clinical studies with herbal**
7 **medicinal products in the paediatric population**
8 Draft

Agreed by Working Party on Community Monographs and Community List (MLWP)	January 2010 March 2010 May 2010
Adoption by HMPC for transmission to PDCO	6 May 2010
Agreed by Working Party on Community Monographs and Community List (MLWP)	November 2010
Adoption by HMPC for release for consultation	25 November 2010
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	<i>15 April 2011</i>
Agreed by Working Party on Community Monographs and Community List (MLWP)	
Coordination with PDCO	
Adoption by HMPC	

9
10
Comments should be provided using this [template](#) to hmpc.secretariat@ema.europa.eu

Keywords	HMPC; Herbal medicinal products; clinical studies in paediatric population
----------	--



11 Reflection paper on the necessity of initiatives to
12 stimulate the conduct of clinical studies with herbal
13 medicinal products in the paediatric population

14 **Table of contents**

15	1. BACKGROUND.....	3
16	2. PURPOSE	4
17	3. DISCUSSION.....	4
18	Importance of sound evidence.....	4
19	State of the art of HMPC monographs.....	5
20	4. CONCLUSIONS	5
21	5. REFERENCES.....	6
22	6. ANNEX	9
23	Table 1	9
24	Table 2	10

25

26 **1. BACKGROUND**

27 It is well documented that herbal medicinal products (HMPs) are widely used in the general population
28 and specifically in children even if there are important differences among European countries due to
29 specific historical developments and traditions (1-7).

30 Probably the most important reason for this general popularity is that parents consider them as less
31 dangerous than "conventional" medicinal products because they are "natural", used over hundreds of
32 years and may not be considered as "real drugs".

33 They can usually be bought without consulting a doctor. Even if consulted, the clinician has
34 documentation on some of the properties of the herbal medicines, but very little clinical information for
35 properly evaluating indications, posology, length of treatment and safety in children.

36 HMPs are used in children and adolescents for minor but common problems such as Upper Respiratory
37 Tract Infections (URTIs), gastrointestinal disorders, skin problems, sleep disorders, loss of appetite,
38 urinary tract and gynecology disorders (8-13). Moreover there are an increasing number of
39 publications (14-29) regarding the HMPs used, often together with conventional medicinal products, for
40 chronic diseases such as Attention-Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), depression, inflammatory
41 bowel disease, cystic fibrosis, rheumatoid arthritis, asthma or cancer. This creates the possibility that a
42 HMP may interact with a standard treatment and highlights the need for more information about the
43 use of such therapies.

44 Directive 2004/24/EC (30) aims to harmonize the market for HMPs and provides a legal basis to
45 facilitate their authorization/registration in Europe. Important tools in the harmonization process are
46 the List of herbal substances, preparations and combinations thereof for use in Traditional Herbal
47 Medicinal Products (THMPs) published by the European Commission and the Community herbal
48 monographs for HMPs having well-established use (WEU) and/or traditional use (TU), established by
49 the Committee on Herbal Medicinal Products (HMPC). Well-established use HMPs have a recognized
50 efficacy and an acceptable level of safety and, usually, have been authorized for more than 10 years in
51 a Member State. THMPs have been in medicinal use for more than 30 years, have been proved to be
52 not harmful in the specified conditions of use and their pharmacological effects or efficacy are plausible
53 on the basis of long-standing use and experience.

54 Article 8(3)(j) of Directive 2001/83/EC and Article 6(1) of Regulation (EC) 726/2004 (31) require that
55 in order to obtain a marketing authorization, a Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) in
56 accordance with Article 11 of Directive 2001/83/EC must be included in the application. The SmPC
57 guideline (32) provides advice on the principles of presenting information in the SmPC. As far as
58 children are concerned, the age limits should reflect the assessment of the available documentation
59 and relate to age intervals where a different dosing is recommended and the information given should
60 relate to ages for which satisfactory efficacy and safety have been shown.

61 Very often HMPs for children do not completely satisfy the above criteria. This may result in attempts
62 by manufacturers to sell such products as food supplements, so as to overcome the requirements to
63 demonstrate their quality, safety and adequate labelling.

64 It is unethical that children do not have access to properly assessed medications. For conventional
65 drugs Regulation(EC) No 1901/2006 as amended (33), the 'Paediatric Regulation', revolutionized the
66 regulatory environment for paediatric medicines in Europe by ensuring that medicines for children are
67 of high quality, ethically researched and authorised appropriately, without subjecting children to

68 unnecessary trials. However, THMPs and HMPs authorised through the well-established medicinal use
69 procedure are not subject to the requirement set out in this legislation to present either studies in the
70 paediatric population in accordance with an agreed Paediatric Investigation Plan or proof of having
71 obtained a waiver or deferral at the time of filing.

72 It is important to note that, despite such lack of data, a considerable number of European children take
73 HMPs along with or without conventional medicines, so it is important that they are also studied in this
74 age group.

75 One of the most important aims of the Paediatric Regulation is to reduce the very frequent off-label
76 use of drugs in children, but the situation of HMPs is similar to the off-label use: they are commonly
77 used but have not been adequately studied, they have been on the market for many years via multiple
78 licence-holders, they have no protected intellectual property rights and yet they may be of therapeutic
79 value to children. Moreover performing proper research without any incentives is very costly.

80 Taking into account the differences between conventional drugs and HMPs it would be useful to
81 improve the situation to ensure medicinal products intended for use in children have been properly
82 assessed in that patient population.

83 **2. PURPOSE**

84 The aim of this document is to highlight the lack of studies on herbal medicine in children and the need
85 for initiatives to stimulate the conduct of clinical studies with HMPs properly designed for children.

86 **3. DISCUSSION**

87 *Importance of sound evidence*

88 It is now well accepted that to find the most appropriate treatment for a patient it is necessary to
89 integrate the best evidence available to the clinician with the wishes of the patient. This is important
90 for conventional, complementary and alternative medicines (34-35).

91 Considering the 'best evidence', the guideline, EMEA/HMPC/104613/2005, on the assessment of clinical
92 safety and efficacy in the preparation of Community herbal monograph (36) refers to the level of
93 evidence and the grading of recommendations used in the WHO General Guidelines for Methodologies
94 on Research and Evaluation of Traditional medicine (37) which considers the strongest evidence is that
95 obtained from meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, and the weakest that obtained from
96 experts' opinions.

97 Considering experts' opinions, lack of agreement between them has often been reported (38) raising
98 difficulties for the clinician who has to make a decision on the best treatment for the patient. Regarding
99 the need for information based on evidence, it is difficult to find good quality studies especially in
100 children (39-40) even though many herbal preparations are standardized and can be adequately
101 studied (41).

102 For this reason, tools to design good trials for HMPs have been proposed by the CONSORT
103 (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) group (42). However, rigorous research is not limited to
104 randomized clinical trials, which also have disadvantages such as costs (both of time and of money)
105 and sometimes ethical problems (43) as well as the risk of incorrect conclusions due to badly designed
106 studies (44).

107 In some situations observational studies can have advantages (45-46), provided that such studies use
108 validated tools such as the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (46). Moreover specific post
109 marketing surveillance studies to define the long-term safety of herbal medicines are the most useful
110 ones (47).

111 ***State of the art of HMPC monographs***

112 Seventy-six monographs on HMPs for 155 indications have been published by November 2010.

113 There are 128 indications for traditional use and 27 for well established use and only one indication
114 (the traditional use for skin disorders and minor wounds of *Avenae fructus*) does not have any age
115 restriction.

116 The tables in the annex report the results of the analysis.

117 The SmPC guideline (32) says that a paediatric indication may not be approved if it is not relevant,
118 contraindicated, or because of lack of data or limited/no experience.

119 Thus, suitable indications for each age group of the tables are considered after excluding those not
120 relevant, contraindicated or generally not recommended and the data show very clearly how the
121 younger the child, the less is the probability of finding indications.

122 Table 1 show the situation of WEU by age where almost all the indications are approved for
123 adolescents, 56.2% for children older than 6 years and none for the younger ones.

124 In the case of TU (table 2), 55.9% are those approved for adolescents, around 15% for children from 4
125 to 12 years, 1.7% from 2 to 4 years and 0.9% for those <2 years of age. These results are mainly due
126 to lack of efficacy and safety data in these age groups.

127 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

128 In spite of frequent use, clinical studies with HMPs in children and adolescents are lacking. For this
129 reason, for the majority of the monographs on HMPs published to date it was not possible to propose
130 any indication for children.

131 The HMPC considers that there is a need for initiatives to specifically stimulate research in this field to
132 allow the correct use of HMPs in the paediatric population.

133 The following approaches are proposed:

- 134 1- Identification of herbal substances/herbal preparations for which a therapeutic benefit is
135 expected (HMPC and PDCO should identify appropriate criteria to select them).
- 136 2- Provision of guidelines and recommendations for developing appropriate paediatric studies for
137 herbal medicinal products.
- 138 3- Promotion of funding to collect more data on monitoring safe use in children and to promote
139 further research.

140 The HMPC would welcome information from stakeholders on experiences with studies on the use of
141 HMPs including THMPs in the paediatric population.

142 5. REFERENCES

- 143 1. Hümer M, Scheller G, Kapellen T, Gebauer C, Schmidt H, Kiess W. Use of herbal medicine in
144 German children - prevalence, indications and motivation *Dtsch Med Wochenschr.* 2010,135(19):
145 pg 959-64.
- 146 2. Vlieger AM, van de Putte EM, Hoeksma H. The use of complementary and alternative medicine in
147 children at a general paediatric clinic and parental reasons for use. *Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd.* 2006,
148 150(11): pp 625-30.
- 149 3. Madsen H, Andersen S, Nielsen RG, Dolmer BS, Høst A, Damkier A. Use of
150 complementary/alternative medicine among paediatric patients. *Eur J Pediatr.* 2003, 162(5): pp
151 334-41.
- 152 4. Menniti-Ippolito F, Gargiulo L, Bologna E, Forcella E, Raschetti R. Use of unconventional medicine
153 in Italy: a nation-wide survey. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2002, 58(1): pp 61-4.
- 154 5. Crawford NW, Cincotta DR, Lim A, Powel CVE. A cross-sectional survey of complementary and
155 alternative medicine use by children and adolescents attending the University Hospital of
156 Wales. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 2006, 6: p 16-9
- 157 6. Pommier J, Billot L, Mouchtouris A, Deschamps JP, Romero MI, Zubarew T. French adolescent
158 attitudes towards informal care for physical and emotional or relational problems. *Acta Paediatr.*
159 2002, 91(4): pp 466-74.
- 160 7. Crowe S, Lyons B. Herbal medicine use by children presenting for ambulatory anesthesia and
161 surgery. *Paediatr Anaesth.* 2004, 14(11): pp 916-9.
- 162 8. Woolf A.D. Herbal Remedies and Children: Do They Work? Are They Harmful? *Pediatrics* 2003,
163 112; pp 240-6
- 164 9. Linde K, Barrett B, Wölkart K, Bauer R, Melchart D. Echinacea for preventing and treating common
165 cold. *Cochrane Database Sys Rev* 2006: 25: CD000530
- 166 10. Hofmann D, Hecker M, Völp A. Efficacy of dry extract of ivy leaves in children with bronchial
167 asthma-a review of randomized controlled trials. *Phytomedicine* 2003, 10(2-3): pp 213-20.
- 168 11. Carr RR, Nahata MC. Complementary and alternative medicine for upper- respiratory tract infection
169 in children. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2006, 63(1): pp 33-9.
- 170 12. Vohra S, Johnston BC, Laycock KL, Midodzi WK, Dhunoo I, Harris E, Baydala L. Safety and
171 Tolerability of North American Ginseng Extract in the Treatment of Pediatric Upper Tract Infection:
172 A Phase II Randomized, Controlled Trial of 2 Dosing Schedules. *Pediatrics* 2008, 122 (2): pp 402-
173 410
- 174 13. Francis AJ, Dempster RJ. Effect of valerian, *Valeriana edulis*, on sleep difficulties in children with
175 intellectual deficits: randomised trial. *Phytomedicine* 2002, 9(4): pp 273-9.
- 176 14. Arnold E, Clark CE, Lasserson TJ, Wu T. Herbal interventions for chronic asthma in adults and
177 children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2008, (1):CD005989
- 178 15. Tsao J. C. I., Zeltzer LK. Complementary and Alternative Medicine Approaches for Pediatric Pain: A
179 Review of the State-of-the-science. *eCAM* 2005, 2(2): pp 149-59
- 180 16. Johnston GA, Bilbao RM, Graham-Brown RA. The use of complementary medicine in children with
181 atopic dermatitis in secondary care in Leicester. *Br J Dermatol.* 2003, 149(3): pp 566-71.

- 182 17. Hubner WD, Kirste T. Experience with St John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum*) in children 12 years
183 with symptoms of depression and psychovegetative disturbances. *Phytother Res* 2001, 15: pp 367-
184 70.
- 185 18. Martel D, Bussi eres JF, Th eor et Y, Lebel D, Kish S, Moghrabi A, Laurier C. Use of alternative and
186 complementary therapies in children with cancer. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2005, 44(7): pp 660-8.
- 187 19. Kelly KM, Jacobson JS, Kennedy DD, Braudt SM, Mallick M, Weiner MA. Use of unconventional
188 therapies by children with cancer at an urban medical center. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*.
189 2000, 22(5): pp 412-6.
- 190 20. Shaw A, Thompson EA, Sharp D. Complementary therapy use by patients and parents of children
191 with asthma and the implications for NHS care: a qualitative study. *BMC Health Services Research*
192 2006, 6: 76
- 193 21. Gerasimidis K, McGrogan P, Hassank, Edward CA. Dietary modifications, nutritional supplements
194 and alternative medicine in paediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment*
195 *Pharmacol Ther* 2008, 27(2): pp 155–65
- 196 22. McCann LJ, Newell SJ. Survey of paediatric complementary and alternative medicine use in health
197 and chronic illness. *Arch Dis Child* 2006, 91: pp173–4.
- 198 23. Vlieger AM, Blink M, Tromp E, Benninga MA. Use of Complementary and Alternative Medicine by
199 Pediatric Patients With Functional and Organic Gastrointestinal Diseases: Results From a
200 Multicenter Survey. *Pediatrics* 2008, 122 (2): pp 446-51;
- 201 24. Soh NL, Walter G. Complementary medicine for psychiatric disorders in children and adolescents.
202 *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2008, 21(4): pp 350-5.
- 203 25. Lyon MR, Cline JC, Totosy de Zepetnek J, Shan JJ, Pang P, Benishin C. Effect of the herbal extract
204 combination *Panax quinquefolium* and *Ginkgo biloba* on attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a
205 pilot study. *Psychiatry Neurosci*. 2001, 26(3): pp 221-8.
- 206 26. Wong HH, Smith RG. Patterns of complementary and alternative medical therapy use in children
207 diagnosed with autism spectrum disorders. *Autism Dev Disord*. 2006, 36(7): pp 901-9.
- 208 27. Cala S, Crismon ML, Baumgartner J. A survey of herbal use in children with attention-deficit-
209 hyperactivity disorder or depression. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2003 Feb; 23(2):222-30.
- 210 28. Weber W, Vander Stoep A, McCarty RL, Weiss NS, Biederman J, McClellan J. *Hypericum*
211 *perforatum* (St John's wort) for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents:
212 a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2008, 299(22): pp 2633-41
- 213 29. Akhondzadeh S, Mohammadi MR, Momeni F. *Passiflora incarnata* in the treatment of attention-
214 deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. *Therapy* 2005 2(4) pp 609-14
- 215 30. Directive 2001/83/EC as amended by Directives 2003/63/EC, 2004/24/EC and 2004/27/EC
- 216 31. Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004
217 laying down Community procedures for the authorisation and supervision of medicinal products for
218 human and veterinary use and establishing a European Medicines Agency.
- 219 32. SmPC guideline - [http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/sectors/pharmaceuticals/files/eudralex/vol-](http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/sectors/pharmaceuticals/files/eudralex/vol-2/c/smpc_guideline_rev2_en.pdf)
220 [2/c/smpc_guideline_rev2_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/sectors/pharmaceuticals/files/eudralex/vol-2/c/smpc_guideline_rev2_en.pdf)

- 221 33. Regulation (EC) No 1901/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December
222 2006 on medicinal products for paediatric use and amending Regulation (EEC) No 1768/92,
223 Directive 2001/20/EC, Directive 2001/83/EC and Regulation (EC) No 726/2004.
- 224 34. Angell M, Kassirer JP. Alternative medicine—the risks of untested and unregulated remedies. *N*
225 *Engl J Med*. 1998, 339: pp 839–841
- 226 35. Bondurant S, Sox HC. Mainstream and alternative medicine: converging paths require common
227 standards. *Ann Intern Med* 2005, 142: pp 149–50.
- 228 36. Guideline on the assessment of clinical safety and efficacy in the preparation of community herbal
229 monographs for well-established and of community herbal monographs / entries to the community
230 list for traditional herbal medicinal products / substances / preparations EMEA/HMPC/104613/2005
- 231 37. WHO General Guidelines for Methodologies on Research and Evaluation of Traditional Medicine,
232 WHO/EDM/TRM/2000.1, WHO Geneva, 2000
- 233 38. Ernst E, Pittler MH, Wider B. The desktop guide to Complementary and alternative Medicine. An
234 evidence-based approach. Edinburgh: Mosby, 2006, pp: XIII-XV
- 235 39. Linde K, Jonas WB, Melchart D, Willich S. The methodological quality of randomized controlled
236 trials of homeopathy, herbal medicines and acupuncture. *Int J Epidemiol* 2001, 30 (3) pp 526-31
- 237 40. Moher D, Sampson M, Campbell K, Beckner W, Lepage L, Gaboury I, Berman B. Assessing the
238 quality of reports of randomized trials in pediatric complementary and alternative medicine . *BMC*
239 *Pediatrics* 2002; 2: 2. Epub 2002 Feb 27.
- 240 41. Calapai G, Caputi AP. Herbal Medicines: Can We Do Without Pharmacologist? *eCAM* 2007; 4(S1) pp
241 41–3
- 242 42. Gagnier JJ, Boon H, Rochon P, Moher D, Barnes J, Bombardier C for the CONSORT Group.
243 Recommendations for reporting randomized controlled trials of herbal interventions. *J Clin*
244 *Epidemiol* 2006; 59(11): pp 1134-1149
- 245 43. CEBM, Centre for evidence based medicine, <http://www.cebm.net>.
- 246 44. Concato J, Shah N, Horwitz RI. Randomized, controlled trials, observational studies, and the
247 hierarchy of research designs. *N Engl J Med*. 2000, 342(25): pp 1887-92.
- 248 45. Sackett DL, Wennberg JE. Choosing the best research design for each question. *BMJ* 1997; 315: p
249 1636
- 250 46. Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS)
251 http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.htm
- 252 47. Ernst E, Cohen MH, Stone J. Ethical problems arising in evidence based complementary and
253 alternative medicine. *J Med Ethics* 2004, 30(2): pp 156–9

6. ANNEX

Table 1

AGE	Well-Established Use							
Years	Not recommended	Not relevant	Contra indicated	Total suitable indications	Medical Advise*	Lack/insufficient data	Limited/no experience	N° and (%) of indications in the monographs
>18				27				27 (100%)
12-18	1	3		22	1			22 (95.6%)
6-12	1	4	6	16	1	6	1	9 (56.2%)
4-6	1	4	6	16	1	6	9	0
2-4	1	4	6	16	1	6	9	0
< 2	1	4	7	15	1	5	9	0

**Salicis cortex (medical advise and only in cases other therapies failed, risk of Reye Syndrome)*

Table 2

AGE	Traditional Use							
Years	Not recommended	Not relevant	Contra indicated	Total suitable indications	Medical Advise +/- lack of data	Lack/ insufficient data	Limited/no experience	N° and (%) of indications in the monographs
>18				128				128
12-18	2	5	3	118	12	37	3	66 (55.9%)
6-12	3	6	5	114	19	65	12	18 (15.8%)
4-6	3	6	5	114	20	67	13	14 (12.3%)
2-4	3	6	5	114	24	67	21	2 (1.7%)
< 2	3	6	13	106	25	67	13	1 (0.9%)